

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of Incremental Energy Efficiency Program Activities for Summer 2005.

Application 05-02-029
(Filed February 25, 2005)

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY

TO: ALL PARTIES OF RECORD IN THE ABOVE-CAPTION PROCEEDING:

The draft decision of Administrative Law Judge Steven Weissman has been made available at

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/COMMENT_DECISION/45349.htm
on April 8, 2005. There have been growing concerns about the sufficiency of electricity supplies in Southern California this summer. In response to a proposal first made by Southern California Edison Company (SCE) on February 25, 2005, and revised on March 28, 2005, in this decision, we approve incremental energy efficiency programs for the summer 2005 designed to reduce peak demand. SCE originally sought approval for new programs totaling \$57 million in new expenditures. While we approve revised programs of this magnitude, we order SCE to redirect \$18.7 million in uncommitted energy efficiency funds from prior years for the purposes approved in this decision, and fund only the remaining amounts with new revenues. We are encouraged by SCE's proposal to meet a potentially tight supply situation with energy efficiency measures.

The alternate draft decision of Commissioner Susan P. Kennedy has been made available at

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/COMMENT_DECISION/45351.htm
on April 8, 2005. There have been growing concerns about the sufficiency of supplies this summer in southern California. In response to these concerns, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) on February 25, 2005, and revised on March 28, 2005, filed a proposal to augment energy efficiency programs designed to reduce peak demand for the summer 2005. In this decision we approve the incremental funding for which SCE originally sought approval. SCE's initial proposal was \$57 million in incremental funding above currently authorized levels. While we approve revised programs of this magnitude, we order SCE to redirect \$18.7 million in uncommitted energy efficiency

funds from prior years for the purposes approved in this decision, and fund only the remaining amounts with new revenues.

We are encouraged by SCE's proposal to meet a potentially tight supply situation with energy efficiency measures. This is not only consistent with our view that energy efficiency is a reliable resource that can effectively meet the energy needs of the state, but it is also consistent with the loading order as discussed in the state's Energy Action Plan.

Any recipient of this Notice of Availability who is not receiving service by electronic mail in this proceeding or who is unable to access the link to the Commission's web site given above may request a paper copy of the draft decision from the Commission's Central Files Office, at (415) 703-2045; fax number (415) 703-2263; e-mail cen@cpuc.ca.gov.

Parties to the proceeding may file opening and reply comments on the draft decision and alternate draft decision on April 26, 2005 and May 2, 2005, respectively, as the Commission is reducing the comment period pursuant to Rule 77.7(f)(9). (Article 19 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure.") These rules are accessible on the Commission's website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>. Pursuant to Rule 77.3 comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

When the Commission acts on the draft decision and alternate draft decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision and alternate draft decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the draft decision and alternate draft decision as provided in Article 19 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure," accessible at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUBLISHED/RULES_PRAC_PROC/44887.htm. Pursuant to Rule 77.3 opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 2.3 and 2.3.1. Electronic copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Steven Weissman at saw@cpuc.ca.gov and Brian Prusnek at bcp@cpuc.ca.gov. Parties must serve hard copies on ALJ Weissman and Brian Prusnek, Advisor for Commissioner Susan P. Kennedy and for that purpose I suggest hand delivery, overnight mail or other expeditious methods of service. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's web site, www.cpuc.ca.gov.

Dated April 8, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN

Angela K. Minkin, Chief
Administrative Law Judge